1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI 3 ST. JOSEPH DIVISION 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No. 15-06004-02-CR-SJ-DGK 5 Plaintiff, Kansas City, Missouri 6 June 9, 2017 7 SABRENA LYNN MORGAN, 8 Defendant. 9 10 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON CHANGE OF PLEA 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. LARSEN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 12 13 **APPEARANCES:** 14 For the Plaintiff: Mr. Bradley K. Kavanaugh Assistant United States Attorney 400 E. Ninth St., Ste. 5510 15 Kansas City, MO 64106 16 (816) 426-3122 For the Defendant: 17 II Mr. David S. Bell Wyrsch, Hobbs & Mirakian 18 1200 Main Street, Ste. 2110 Kansas City, MO 64105 19 (816) 221-0080 20 Court Audio Operator: Ms. Jan Martin 21 Transcribed by: Rapid Transcript Lissa C. Whittaker 22 1001 West 65th Street Kansas City, MO 64113 23 (816) 914-3613 24 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

(Court in Session at 11:09 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. I have a plea that's going to be taken this morning in the case of the *United States of America vs. Sabrena Lynn Morgan*. The number of the case is 15-6004-02-CR-SJ-DGK. Let me have the AUSA's appearance, please.

MR. KAVANAUGH: Brad Kavanaugh on behalf of the United States, Your Honor. Good morning.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel for Ms. Morgan, please?

MR. BELL: Good morning, Your Honor. David Bell on
behalf of Ms. Morgan who appears today in person.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, we have it scheduled today for an anticipated plea. Let me just get my paperwork organized here. And with regard to the plea, I should make a record first and foremost that this is a case that's currently assigned to a different judge. It's assigned to District Court Judge Kays. Judge Kays has asked me if I would take the plea on the case with the understanding that he will do, obviously, the final sentencing here. I need to get -- make sure that everybody's in agreement that they're concurring with Judge Kays' request that I take the plea here. Does the Government have any objection to me doing that?

MR. KAVANAUGH: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Mr. Bell, have you talked to your client about that?

MR. BELL: I have, Judge, and just so the Court's aware, it was our request that this take place on this day. And, therefore, it's because of our request that we're before Your Honor. I've discussed that with my client -- the difference between Magistrate and Article III judges. There is a provision in the Plea Agreement with regard to it and we consent to Your Honor taking the plea. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. And is that your position, Ms. Morgan?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask me any questions about that?

MS. MORGAN: No.

on board. So, now, at any time during the course of these proceedings, if you have any questions or you need to confer with Mr. Bell, all you need to do is raise your hand and let me know that you want to do that and I'll give you an opportunity to do that. The other thing is you should feel comfortable in asking me any questions while we go through this process. Okay?

MS. MORGAN: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. The first thing I need to do is ask Mr. Kavanaugh, there are a number of counts that we anticipate Ms. Morgan is going to plea to and I'd like Mr. Kavanaugh to go ahead and read those counts.

MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, Your Honor. Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, the defendant is agreeing to plead quilty to Counts Three, Five, and Seven. Count Three reads as follows: or about January  $1^{st}$ , 2010, and January  $13^{th}$ , 2015, within the Western District of Missouri, other defendants and the defendant, Sabrena Lynn Morgan, in furtherance of and during and in relation to drug-trafficking crime for which they may be prosecuted as set out in this Indictment, did knowingly and intentionally carry, possess and use firearms, to wit: a loaded Springfield Armory XD-40 .40-caliber semiautomatic handgun, bearing Serial Number XD352133, a New England 12-gauge shotgun bearing Serial Number NF386490, various unrecovered firearms identified by numerous drug customers and charged and uncharged co-conspirators as in the possession of the defendants during the conspiracy period including a Pink pump-action shotgun photographed by law enforcement at Defendant Morgan's residence and a loaded 9mm Hi-Point pistol and a loaded Smith & Wesson .22-caliber pistol from a residence where Dothage was arrested, all contrary to provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c). to Count Five of the Superseding Indictment, that on or between January 1st, 2010, and January 13th, 2015, said dates being approximate, within the Western District of Missouri, the defendants, in addition to Sabrena Lynn Morgan, did knowingly rent, use, maintain, manage and control any place for the purpose of distributing and using methamphetamine and heroin, Schedule I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Schedule II controlled substances, to wit: their residences in the Western District of Missouri, all contrary to provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 856(a) and (b). And as to Count Seven of the Superseding Indictment, on or between January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2010, and July 7<sup>th</sup>, 2015, the exact dates unknown to the Grand Jury, within in the Western District of Missouri, other named defendants and this defendant, Sabrena Lynn Morgan, did knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with each other and others, both known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to distribute 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance contrary to the provisions Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A), and 846.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Morgan, do you understand what you're charged with in Counts Three, Five and Seven?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I'd ask counsel, would you go through the penalty ranges with Ms. Morgan for me?

MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, Your Honor. As to Count Three, possessing a firearm with a drug-trafficking offense, not less than five years and not more than life imprisonment, not more than a \$250,000 fine, not more than five years supervised release, a \$100 mandatory special assessment. It should be noted also by statute that this count -- the imposition of sentence, I note this count must run consecutive to the imposition of

sentence under any other counts, is a Class A felony. As to Count Five, maintaining a drug premises, not more than 20 years imprisonment, not more than a \$500,000 fine, not more than three years of supervised release, again, a \$100 mandatory special assessment. This is a Class C felony. As to Count Seven, that's conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine in excess of 500 grams or more, not less than 10 years and not more than life imprisonment, not more than a \$10 million fine, not less than five years of supervised release, again, a \$100 mandatory special assessment. This is a Class A felony. In addition, Your Honor, there is also the admission to the Forfeiture Allegation as contained within the Superseding Indictment.

THE COURT: Thank you. Do you understand the penalties on those three counts?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, Ms. Morgan, I'm going to go through all of the rights that you're giving up if you want to go ahead and proceed with this guilty plea pursuant to the plea bargain arrangement here. And they are significant, so I know that Mr. Bell, in his ususal thorough fashion, has talked to you about all this but we just need to make a record here so that I'm assured that you understand all those rights. You understand that you have a right to have this matter presented to a trial jury and there would be 12 members of that jury and all 12 of them would have to agree. They'd have to be unanimous in their

verdict before they could return here in court and find you either not guilty or guilty. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that during the course of the trial, you would have the right to be assisted by counsel and Mr. Bell would do all the things that a well-prepared, knowledgeable, criminal defense lawyer does. He would help you select a jury. He would make an opening statement to the jury about what the evidence anticipated is going to be. He'd also cross-examine the Government's witnesses. He would also present whatever evidence you wanted the jury to hear. And, finally, he'd argue the case to the jury as to why you should be acquitted of these counts. Do you understand that that would be his role during the course of this trial?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that during the trial, you would be presumed to be innocent of these allegations. In other words, the scales of justice would already be tilted in your favor and the Government would have to overcome that presumption of innocence and then prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Beyond a reasonable doubt is the highest standard of proof required in any proceeding in the United States. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that during the

course of the trial, you would have a right to be confronted by the Government's witnesses against you. And your lawyer, Mr. Bell, would have a right to cross-examine those witnesses to bring out favorable evidence for your side of the case or to show the jurors that those witnesses should not be believed. Do you understand that right?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that during the course of the trial, you would also have a right to testify if you wanted to testify. Do you know that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Correspondingly, do you know that you also have a right not to take the stand and testify and the jury would be told that they could not draw any adverse or bad inference from the fact that you didn't take the stand and testify. Do you understand that right?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you have a right to have witnesses compelled to come down here under federal court order? We'd issue subpoenas. So, if you wanted to have witnesses come down here and testify, we would issue those orders and have them appear. Do you know that right?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also know that during the course of the proceedings, you might decide that you don't want to testify

and that you don't want to call any other witnesses and you simply want to stand on the presumption of innocence and the high standard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt and have Mr. Bell argue that the Government has failed to prove their case here?

And sometimes that actually results in an acquittal around here.

Do you understand that right?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if you went to trial and there was a guilty verdict as to any of these counts, that would not end the discussion? There would then be an appeal to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals and there would be three judges on that panel who would hear the arguments of counsel and if two of those three judges thought you didn't get a fair shake down here, they would reverse your conviction and send it back down to us and tell us either re-try this case or dismiss the charges against you. Do you understand that right?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that when you tell me you want to come in here and plead guilty pursuant to this plea bargain arrangement, you're giving up all these significant constitutional and other rights and the only things that's going to happen here is there's going to be a sentencing by Judge Kays? I'll order a Presentence Report, you'll get to see it, and object to any parts you think are erroneous but then at some point the judge is going to sentence you. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, in this case, this has been an open file discovery case by the United States. I know that from my experience in the case. And I know that -- I think Bruce Rhodes, who's got this case -- isn't this Bruce Rhodes' case, Mr. Kavanaugh?

MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. So he's provided full discovery here. Mr. Bell, have you had a chance to review that discovery and talk about it with Ms. Morgan?

MR. BELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And from your review of that discovery do you think it's in her best interest to plead guilty pursuant to this plea bargain arrangement?

MR. BELL: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, Ms. Morgan, during the course of the proceedings here I need to alert you to the fact that I'm going to place you under oath and I'm going to ask you some questions about why it is you actually think you're guilty of these three counts. Not why you're innocent but why you think you're guilty, because the court doesn't want to accept any guilty pleas from anyone if they think, no, I didn't do this. So, I'm going to put you under oath and I'm going to ask you questions about that. And because you're under oath, you have to tell me the truth, right?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And you'll do that.

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Won't you? Right. Okay. Now, you understand and I -- that if you wouldn't tell me the truth, that could implicate a potential perjury charge here and nobody wants to see that happen. So, just be aware of the fact that that's always out there, so you have to tell me the truth. With regard to the factual basis for the plea, I've read the Plea Agreement and I'm familiar with it. I know there's a section in there that deals with the factual basis but can the Government outline for me just briefly what the underlying facts would be should this case go to trial?

MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, Your Honor. Again, incorporating the more detailed Paragraph 3 of the Plea Agreement, consisting of the factual basis, in brief, it would be that in 2010, law enforcement initiated an investigation into a drug-trafficking organization distributing methamphetamine in the northwest Missouri and northeast Kansas area. Indictments followed thereafter. Through the course of that prosecution, in addition to interviews, proffers, and additional investigation by law enforcement, additional defendants were identified as being involved in the distribution conspiracy. And the time period for that drug-trafficking conspiracy was from 2010 to 2015. But, in particular, are José and Gabriel Ruiz and Gregory Bullock were

the primary sources of supply of the methamphetamine as part of this drug-trafficking conspiracy. That the Ruiz brothers and Bullock obtained large amounts of methamphetamine then sold and distributed it to among others, Sabrena Morgan and those defendants identified within the Superseding Indictment. That thereafter those defendants, including Sabrena Morgan, distributed that methamphetamine to others throughout Clay, Ray, Clinton and Buchanan Counties, all within the Western District of Missouri. And that during the dates of this conspiracy that the Defendant Morgan during and in relation to and in furtherance of the drug-trafficking conspiracy was in actual or constructive possession of and carried firearms. Again, these acts all occurred within in the Western District of Missouri and included some or all of the following firearms: a Pink pump-action shotgun recovered from Morgan's residence after her arrest and various unrecovered firearms identified by numerous drug customers and charged and uncharged co-conspirators as in the possession of the defendant during the conspiracy period. During the dates of the conspiracies, Morgan and others maintained control of physical places within the Western District of Missouri for at least one purpose of storing, distributing and using methamphetamine. 2014, multiple witnesses gave statements about their knowledge of and involvement with Morgan and other conspirators in obtaining and distributing methamphetamine. Those statements and others obtained later detail that Morgan obtained large amounts of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

methamphetamine from the Ruiz brothers and others, then distributed the methamphetamine to a number of others, some of whom redistributed it again. The money that Morgan received from these -- from those she distributed to was then used to obtain additional methamphetamine for distribution. Morgan's involvement in the conspiracy with others resulted in a methamphetamine responsibility for Morgan in excess of 5 kilograms of methamphetamine.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bell, do you agree that the Government's file reflects the evidence that the prosecutor's just laid out?

MR. BELL: I do, Your Honor. May I remain seated during the answering of the questions, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yeah, you don't need to stand up.

MR. BELL: And, Judge, we have reviewed the discovery and we -- I agree with the Government's recitation.

THE COURT: Okay. Then, I'd ask you now, Ms. Morgan, if you would raise your right hand for me, please?

SABRENA LYNN MORGAN, DEFENDANT, SWORN

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a couple of general questions and then I'm going to get more deeper into the weeds here on the elements. But you've heard the prosecutor's statement here about the underlying facts and I think it's basically contained in that third paragraph of the Plea Agreement. Do you agree that they have that evidence and they

could produce that evidence if this case went to trial?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

I'm going to ask you to turn to Count Three of the Indictment and I'll just ask you some general questions and then we'll get more into the depth of this. But in terms of Count Three, is it true that between the dates of January 1st of 2010, and January 13th of 2015, that you were here in the general Kansas City, Missouri, metropolitan area. Is that much true?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And with regard to that, is it true that you possessed or carried or used this firearm that's described here? It's a Springfield Armory .40-caliber semi-automatic handgun and a New England 12-gauge shotgun. Is it true that you had those guns and used those guns in connection with this drug-trafficking activity?

MS. MORGAN: I had the Pink one.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MS. MORGAN: I had the Pink gun.

THE COURT: The Pink gun?

MS. MORGAN: The Pink pump-action.

MR. BELL: Judge, I think -- if I may, Judge? We agree that as part of the conspiracy these other guns were either -- that she either constructively possessed and/or carried those. In particular, as set forth in the factual basis, as located in

her house there was a Pink pump-action shotgun that was mentioned by -- in the discovery along with other guns, but, in particular, the Pink pump-action shotgun that was found at her residence and was recovered by law enforcement after her arrest.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's covered under the various unrecovered firearms identified by numerous drug customers. Is that much true?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

different one?

THE COURT: Okay. So that was -- and what kind of a firearm was that?

MS. MORGAN: Umm --

THE COURT: Do you know what it was?

MS. MORGAN: The 12-gauge?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. MORGAN: Yes. It was a Pink pump-action shotgun.

THE COURT: Is that the New England one or is that a

MS. MORGAN: That's a different one.

THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about that one. That -- oh, I see, it's down here. It's says during the conspiracy period including a Pink pump-action shotgun photographed by law enforcement at Defendant Morgan's residence and a loaded 9mm Hi-Point pistol loaded with -- Smith & Wesson .22-caliber pistol. And a loaded Smith & Wesson -- which one of those did you have?

MS. MORGAN: I had the Pink pump-action.

THE COURT: Okay. Were any of the others located where 1 2 you were? 3 MS. MORGAN: No. 4 THE COURT: Okay. So you just had the Pink pump-action 5 qun? 6 MS. MORGAN: No, these were with Butch at another 7 residence. 8 THE COURT: Is there something else, Mr. Bell? 9 MR. BELL: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And so is it true that you had that gun in 11 connection with the drug-trafficking activity involving 12 methamphetamine, is that true? 13 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 14 THE COURT: And was that for your protection or the 15 protection of methamphetamine or what? 16 MS. MORGAN: For my protection. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And so do you think you're actually 18 guilty of what you're charged with in Count Three? 19 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And looking at Count Five, again, the period of time from January 1st of 2010, to January 13th of 2015, 21 22 you were here in the general Western District of Missouri. 23 That's everything including St. Joe and this general area on the 24 Missouri side. That's all true, correct? 25 MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And did you know any of these folks that are 1 2 identified in this count? 3 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Who did you know from that Count? 5 MS. MORGAN: Elgin Dothage. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And is it true that you did rent, 7 use, maintain or manage or control a place for the purpose of 8 distributing and using methamphetamine and heroin? Is that true? 9 MS. MORGAN: Just -- not heroin but methamphetamines. 10 Okay. So you agree to the methamphetamine. THE COURT: 11 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 12 THE COURT: You weren't involved in the heroin? 13 MS. MORGAN: No. 14 Okay. And so what was the location that THE COURT: 15 we're talking about there? Where did you have -- where did you 16 do that? 17 MS. MORGAN: My house. 18 THE COURT: And where was that? MS. MORGAN: 15010 Northeast 136<sup>th</sup> Street. 19 20 THE COURT: And where is --21 MS. MORGAN: Kearney, Missouri. 22 Oh, thank you. Good. Okay. And that's --THE COURT: 23 is that up north? Is that where --24 MS. MORGAN: It's Clay County. 25 THE COURT: Oh, Clay County. Okay. And so with regard

to that, obviously, you did that knowingly, right? 1 2 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 3 THE COURT: And you did it intentionally, correct? 4 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And you knew it was against the law, right? 6 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Right. And so do you think you're actually 8 quilty of Count Five? 9 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Now, let's go over to Count Seven. 11 And this is again this broad sweep of time, January  $1^{st}$  of 2010, to July 7 of 2015. And again, you were up in that northwest part 12 13 of Missouri, correct? 14 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And did you know any of these folks listed 16 in here? 17 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 18 THE COURT: And who did you know there? 19 MS. MORGAN: And before one was listed. I've met Josh 20 Keith Bowers. I knew Elgin Dothage, Franklin Charles Carter, 21 Steven Lee Schreier, Ronald Louis Roberts, José Ruiz-Salazar, 22 Gabriel Ruiz-Salazar, Gary Dewayne Brown, Brian Michael Bowers, 23 Ryan A. Battagler, Jackie R. Love, William Dean Campbell, Jason 24 Lee Kirtley, Marion Eugene Brammer, Darrin Gene Berry, Rodney 25 Earl King, Jason Daniel Davis. I don't know -- this -- hold on,

I don't know him. Oh, Anthony Ray Stevenson, Paula Rae Scott and 1 2 that's all. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And so you knew them in connection with -- you may have known them in other connections but you knew 4 5 them in connection with the drug dealing activity? 6 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 7 THE COURT: And is it true that you engaged in an agreement -- a conspiracy -- which is just an informal agreement 8 9 or an understanding between or among people here to engage in 10 drug-trafficking activity, --11 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 12 THE COURT: -- is that true? And did you do that 13 knowingly? 14 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And willfully, right? 16 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And did it involve an agreement or did the 18 agreement actually involve the distribution of 500 grams or more 19

of methamphetamine? Do you have any reason to dispute that?

MS. MORGAN: No.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. So, do you think you're guilty actually of Count Seven?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, there's also an Allegation of Forfeiture here and I just want to make sure that we get it on the table here. Is any of the personal property or real estate, is that related to -- anything like that related to Ms. Morgan?

MR. BELL: I don't believe so, Judge.

MR. KAVANAUGH: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's just the --

MR. KAVANAUGH: The shotgun would be forfeitable, I believe.

on the bottom of page 7, you might look there. That there's a reference to a total amount of currency that was generated as a result of this alleged illegal behavior here. They've got \$4,359,600 in currency which they think jointly all of these folks were involved in. And the agreement, I believe, involves a forfeiture of anything that you might have including an agreement to that amount. Am I correct in that, Mr. Bell?

MR. BELL: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And so you understand that, you know, if the Government could trace assets to the alleged illegal activity, they could collect that up to \$4,359,600. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you may not have generated it but you would be jointly responsible for everybody else's behavior here too, so. Okay. Let me just check my cheat sheet here so I'm not missing anything. So, during that period of time

you were involved in that understanding or that agreement with one or more of those other folks, correct?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Good. Now, I've covered, I think, the factual basis, but if the Government's got any additional questions about the factual basis, I want you to feel comfortable in asking them.

MR. KAVANAUGH: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Bell, do you have any additional
questions you want to ask about the factual basis here?

MR. BELL: Nothing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. If you want to confer with your friend?

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Good. Now, let me kind of get back on track here. We've covered all that and the next thing I need to talk to you about is this Plea Agreement. Now, this is a rather lengthy document. I think there's some 16 pages here in total here. Have you had a chance to read all of that and talk about it with Mr. Bell?

MR. BELL: No, I -- no. We're fine, Judge. Thank you.

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there -- I'm going to go through it with you here just to cover the highlights. So if you've got any questions, you should feel comfortable in asking me about it.

Okay?

1 MS. MORGAN: Okay.

THE COURT: We're going to -- what I'm going to do is I'm going to refer to the page at the bottom.

MS. MORGAN: Okay.

THE COURT: And then I'll refer to the paragraph that I'm looking at. Okay? Now, at page 1, Paragraph 1, it talks about the parties. And this just binds you and the U.S. Attorney for the Western District of Missouri. It doesn't bind anybody else. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And Paragraph 2 it talks about the three counts you're going to plead to here involving the methamphetamine business. And then at page 2 at the top of the page it talks about that forfeiture business here. Any questions about that?

MS. MORGAN: No, sir.

THE COURT: Then there's the factual basis at page 2, Paragraph 3. Have you read that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And it goes on over to page 3 of that document. And do you believe that all that information is true?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And then at page 4 -- at page 3, Paragraph 4, it advises you that that information can be used by the judge in terms of accepting the plea here and also in terms of

sentencing here. You understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And then go over to the next page 4, the statutory penalties. Do you have any questions about any of those?

MS. MORGAN: No.

THE COURT: All right. Then we're going to move on and cover -- hold on. I'll get where I want to be. Oh, there's the Government's agreements at page 7, Paragraph 7, and basically the Government's saying that they're agreeing not bring any additional charges for any criminal activity related to this investigation in which they have venue and jurisdiction. And so, anyway, that's their agreement. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And then turn over to page 9, Paragraph 10.

I always get worried about the Sentencing Guidelines. Have you talked with Mr. Bell about those?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that, first of all, they're advisory. They don't bind our judges, but our judges are pretty careful about following them if they're appropriate. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And then at -- it goes down and talks about what the guidelines appear to be here. And basically, they look

at two things. They look at first of all the behavior -- the illegal behavior that's alleged here. They look at that and they try to come up with a number for that. And then they look down another list and try to figure out what, if any, criminal history you have. So that's basically what it is. It's like a grid that you figure out your taxes on. So, here it says that subparagraph (b), it says that this provision of the guidelines requires a finding of not less than a Level 30 and the parties reserve their right to address at sentencing the presentence or the court's determined drug level. Do you understand what that means?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And then under (c) it says that the parties recognize that there may be specific or aggravating and mitigating circumstances but you're electing to address those at sentencing. In other words, we're not locking in anything today about any of that business. You understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And then at (d) it says that you appear to have admitted your guilt and accepted responsibility. So that's good news. They're going to kick it down three levels as a result of that. And then at (e) it says that there's no agreement on your criminal history. And so what that means is Probation's going to figure that out and figure out what you're history has been and then the judge will make the final ruling on where you fall onto the guidelines based on your criminal history

here. Do you understand that? 1 2 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Now, that's about all of that, so I'm not going to burden it. I just wanted to point out one 4 additional part here under Paragraph 22 at page 15. 5 It says that there are no undisclosed terms as described there in that portion 6 7 of the document. Have you read that? 8 MS. MORGAN: Uh. What? 9 (Off Record: Attorney-Client Discussion) 10 MS. MORGAN: Oh, yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And you understand what that means, 12 right? 13 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And so has everything you've been 15 promised then contained in the four corners of this document? 16 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Has anyone, anywhere, anytime promised you 18 anything else? 19 MS. MORGAN: No. 20 THE COURT: Has anyone made any threats against you or 21 your family or anyone close to you to get you to come in here an 22 plead guilty? 23 MS. MORGAN: No. 24 THE COURT: Is it your free and voluntary act? 25 MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you about your 1 2 counsel. And I know Mr. Bell quite well, so I just need to make 3 sure that you and he had a good relationship here. But have you been getting along with Mr. Bell? 4 5 MS. MORGAN: I get along with him very well. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And so is there anything that he's 7 done that you haven't wanted him to do? 8 MS. MORGAN: No. 9 Is there anything that he's failed to do THE COURT: 10 that you've asked him to do? 11 MS. MORGAN: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So you're satisfied with his 13 performance? 14 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Tell me your age. 16 MS. MORGAN: Forty. 17 THE COURT: And how far have you gone in school? 18 MS. MORGAN: I completed college and one year of law 19 school. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So you obviously read, write and 21 understand the English language, right? 22 MS. MORGAN: I do. 23 THE COURT: Yeah. And with regard to your physical 24 health, is there anything about your physical health today that

would affect your ability to plead guilty here?

25

1 MS. MORGAN: No

THE COURT: Anything about your mental health would affect your ability to plead guilty?

MS. MORGAN: No.

THE COURT: Are you on any kind of substance, be it alcohol or controlled substance, whether prescribed or not prescribed, that would affect your ability to make a good decision today?

MS. MORGAN: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And with regard to the factual basis and anything else, I want to give the lawyers an opportunity. Is there the Government thinks I've neglected to cover before I ask for the plea here?

MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, Your Honor, a couple matters. One, back to Paragraph 15 of the Plea Agreement which addresses the Waiver of Appellate and Post-Conviction Rights. Just an acknowledgment from the defendant that she understands that she is agreeing to waive via her unconditional plea of guilty the ability to appeal or collaterally attack her finding of guilt as well as any sentence imposed except for the following basis or reasons: ineffective assistance of counsel, prosecutorial misconduct, or an illegal sentence that is imposed. One other --

THE COURT: First, before you get to that, do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: You're giving up all those rights. Go ahead.

MR. KAVANAUGH: Thank you, Your Honor. One other point under Rule 11, just to advise the defendant that prior to imposing sentence, that the court in determining a sentence, the court is obligated to calculate the applicable guideline range and consider the range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3553(a).

THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're better off than I am then because I don't know that I understand what that -- what does that mean? Give us that in real English.

MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, Your Honor. At the time of sentencing, the court will ultimately have to calculate the guidelines. But also beyond the guidelines under U.S.S.G. would also look to the statutory factors considered at sentencing prior to imposing sentence which is found under that section.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So anything else by the Government?

MR. KAVANAUGH: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And so any additional examination or questions or issues you want to take up, Mr. Bell?

MR. BELL: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I do this with everyone who's in

front of me, so don't think I'm picking on you. But invariably from time to time we find that defendants have something that's been bothering them that nobody's asked them about and nobody's given them a good answer to it. And so, if there's anything hanging out there like that that is worrisome to you and you haven't been able to get a good answer for it, I'll at least try to answer it. I don't know if it will be good or not but do you have anything like that?

MS. MORGAN: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bell, then I turn to you at this point and ask what is the plea?

MR. BELL: Guilty to all three charges.

THE COURT: With regard to your pleas, they are now guilty pursuant to this plea bargain arrangement on those three counts that we've just gone through, correct?

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll go ahead and accept that plea.

I'll order a Presentence Investigation and what will happen from here is it usually takes between eight and ten weeks before there's a scheduled sentencing. You'll get a chance to see it, to make sure the report is accurate, and then we'll move forward with Judge Kays doing the final sentencing.

MS. MORGAN: All right. Thank you.

MR. BELL: Judge, pursuant to the Plea Agreement, she's to go into custody. However, without objection from the

Government, I'd like the Court's permission to allow her to meet with the PSR author at this moment and we will then selfsurrender to the U.S. Marshal's Service. THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. No, that's fine with me. don't think we need to take her into custody right this second, I'm sure Mr. Bell will deposit her with the Marshals. MR. BELL: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? MR. KAVANAUGH: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Bell? MR. BELL: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you all very much. (Court Adjourned at 11:45 a.m.) 

/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker
Signature of transcriber

<u>June 12, 2017</u> Date